

Robert C. Marslett, Jr.	HUDALJ No. 99-16-NA	1999/09/13	Claim of discrimination based on disability not covered under Section 741. The ACP, ECP, CRP agriculture cost sharing programs are not covered under Section 741.
Frank Baiamonte & Denise Baiamonte	HUDALJ No. 99-13-NA	1999/09/27	Claimant failed to allege a ground for discrimination which was covered by Section 741.
Joseph D. Thompson	HUDALJ No. 99-10-NA	1999/09/29	Complainant failed to meet definition of an "eligible complaint."
Lonnie Daniels	HUDALJ No. 99-19-NA	1999/10/20	The Rural Business Enterprise Grant (RBEG) program is not covered under Section 741.
Gordon Benson	HUDALJ No. 99-05-NA	1999/11/08	Claimant failed to show that any actions of FSA were based on non-objective criteria.
Russell B. Clower, Jr.	HUDALJ No. 99-08-NA	1999/11/09	Claim dismissed voluntarily.
Kathy McAlister	HUDALJ No. 99-03-NA	1999/11/19	Claimant failed to file an "eligible complaint."
Shirley Daughtry	HUDALJ No. 99-34-NA	1999/11/30	Claimant made no assertion of discrimination and provided no evidence of discrimination.
Charles R. Fowler	HUDALJ No. 99-35-NA	1999/11/30	Claimant failed to Show Cause why claim should not be dismissed due to an earlier signed release.
Jason Wyciskalla	HUDALJ No. 99-11-NA	1999/12/01	Claimant's claim of discrimination due to status of disability is not a protected status under Section 741.
Lawrence Sweeney	HUDALJ No. 99-04-NA	1999/12/01	Claimant failed to show prima facie elements of discriminatory treatment.
Ronald Parten	HUDALJ No.99-36-NA	1999/12/02	Claim of discrimination based upon status of familial status is not a protected status under Section 741.
Ag Management & Associates, and Billy Rutherford	HUDALJ No. 99-18-NA	1999/12/13	Claimant failed to file an "eligible complaint." Political beliefs are not a protected status under Section 741. Being a partnership from another one of the United States does not qualify as a "National Origin" status under Section 741.
Charles Becker	HUDALJ No. 99-02-NA	1999/12/14	Claimant failed to show prima facie elements of discrimination.
Trinidad Ramos-Foster	HUDALJ No. 99-07-NA	1999/12/23	Claimant failed to show prima facie elements of discrimination.
Jackie Simmons	HUDALJ No. 99-39-NA	2000/01/06	Claim of discrimination based upon status of disability is not a protected status under Section 741.
Ida Klinkler	HUDALJ No. 99-15-NA	2000/01/07	Claimant did not meet prima facie burden of showing that her treatment was disparate compared to others similarly situated but not of the protected class.
Terry Lee Christian	HUDALJ No. 99-20-NA	2000/01/12	Claimant failed to show prima facie basis for discrimination based on disability or the result of being on public assistance.
Sharon Mavity	HUDALJ No.99-12-NA	2000/01/31	The OCR and the Agency had opposing views on the merits of the Complaint. Complainants' Motion for Partial summary judgement on the issue of liability denied.
Michael D. Brzoznowski	HUDALJ No. 99-29-NA	2000/01/31	Claim of discrimination based upon status of familial status is not a protected status under Section 741 and a tardy claim of discrimination of being on public assistance is too late for receiving under Section 741.

Kris B. Hanson	HUDALJ No. 99-14-NA	2000/02/01	Claimant did not meet prima facie burden of showing that her treatment was disparate compared to others similarly situated but not of the protected class.
Gene A. Finkler	HUDALJ No. 99-06-NA	2000/02/16	Claimant failed to show (a) eligibility & (b) that FSA actions were not based on legitimate non-discriminatory reasons.
D.J. Manning	HUDALJ No. 99-45-NA	2000/02/29	Claim of discrimination due to financial ability and/or political belief is not a protected status under Section 741.
Henry D. Lockwood & Hattie G. Lockwood	HUDALJ No. 99-38-NA	2000/03/08	Claimant failed to show that other persons not in the protected status were accorded more favorable treatment.
Tony Jones & Patricia Jones	HUDALJ No. 99-41-NA	2000/03/14	Complainant failed to make a prima facie claim of discrimination.
Joe Ferriera, Jr.	HUDALJ No. 99-52-NA	2000/03/21	Claimant failed to allege any area of protected status.
Pearline J. Hamler	HUDALJ No. 99-49-NA	2000/03/22	Complainants 1 & 2 were claims under CPL program and not covered under ECOA and Section 741. Complaint 3 was covered a voluntary agreement not induced by fraud. Complaint 4 failed because Complainant did not complete the application which resulted in denials of credit.
Robert Norsworthy	HUDALJ No. 99-47-NA	2000/03/22	Claim was withdrawn.
Alfred Polasky	HUDALJ No.99-43-NA	2000/03/23	Claim was untimely filed and provided no basis to substantiate a claim of racial discrimination.
Lester A. Buck	HUDALJ No. 99-48-NA	2000/03/31	Claimant's case did not relate to a credit transaction and is not covered under Section 741.
Bonnie J. McBrayer & Richard P. McBrayer	HUDALJ No. 99-01-NA	2000/04/12	Claimant made no showing of unlawful discrimination.
Newell Pixler	HUDALJ No. 99-46-NA	2000/04/14	Claim of age discrimination was filed after July 1, 1997 and time barred.
Brian Mickle	HUDALJ No. 99-40-NA	2000/04/20	Claimant failed to specify basis of discrimination until after the time of permissible filing had passed.
Patsy Huffman	HUDALJ No. 99-37-NA	2000/05/10	Claimant failed to show prima facie elements of discriminatory treatment.
RonaldBurleigh	HUDALJ No. 99-09-NA	2000/06/05	Complainant failed to establish prima facie proof of discrimination. And the agency met its burden of showing a legitimate non-discriminatory reason for its action.
Mildred Porter	HUDALJ No. 99-30-NA	2000/06/22	Agency provided legitimate non-discriminatory reasons for denial of credit. No evidence of disparate treatment shown. Evidence of a mis-statement by OCR is not a basis for finding discrimination.
Paul A. Lande & Jeanne Lande	HUDALJ No. 99-32-NA	2000/06/30	Claimant failed to respond to a motion to dismiss.
Armando Santos-Negros	HUDALJ No. 00-01-NA	2000/08/04	Claimant failed to show disparate treatment as a result of having a "low income borrower" status.
Dale & Leanna Resel	HUDALJ No. 00-03-NA	2000/08/14	Claimant made no allegations of discrimination based upon a protected status.

Gordon Kleyle	HUDALJ No. 00-04-NA	2000/09/06	The claim of discrimination under the C.R.P. program is not covered by Section 741. Claimant failed to make a prima facie case of discrimination by FSA in non-insured disaster assistance program.
William A. Reid, Jr.	HUDALJ No. 99-51-NA	2000/10/20	Claimant filed within time restrictions were generic and failed to allege the specified protected status and claims filed after July 1, 1997 were time barred.
Roger D. Joe	HUDALJ No.00-06-NA	2000/11/21	Complainant failed to show cause why case should not be dismissed due to non-prosecution.
Esther Lucille Howell	HUDALJ No. 00-07-NA	2000/12/04	Claimant failed to make a prima facie case of discrimination and failed to allege facts showing qualified for benefits sought.
Richard & Mary Ordille	HUDALJ No.00-12-NA	2000/12/08	Although ORC letters are in error regarding date of claim, the full facts show it was a time barred claim.
Karen Moorehead	HUDALJ No. 00-17-NA	2000/12/08	Claimant failed to show cause why case should not be dismissed due to failure to allege claim under a program covered by ECOA.
John A. Townsend & Betty L. Townsend	HUDALJ No. 00-05-NA	2000/12/22	Claimant failed to make prima facie case of discrimination based on race and the agency articulated a legitimate non-discriminatory reason for its action.
Carolyn Odum	HUDALJ No. 00-11-NA	2001/02/01	Claimant failed to show cause why the case should not be dismissed for failure to allege status of discrimination.
John & Mary Visconti	HUDALJ No. 00-13-NA	2001/02/20	Complainant failed to allege discrimination until after filing window closed.
Lousie Cox-Simmons	HUDALJ No. 99-44-NA	2001/04/27	Claimant failed to refute legitimate non-discriminatory reasons for agency's actions.
Herman J. Everhart	HUDALJ No. 00-21-NA	2001/05/04	Claim of discrimination based on disability is not covered under Section 741.
John C. Powers	HUDALJ No. 00-18-NA	2001/05/09	Allegations of religious discrimination not substantiated by prima facie evidence of same.
Anna Marie Codario	HUDALJ No. 00-14-NA	2001/10/04	Claimant failed to claim discrimination within the allowed time frame.
David G. & Eleanor Allen	HUDALJ No. 00-23-NA	2001/11/09	Complainant did not allege an eligible status of discrimination under Section 741.
Larry & Susan Ansell	HUDALJ No. 00-22-NA	2001/11/21	Allegations of eligible discrimination status raised only after time barred.
Wesley & Marilyn Orahoad Mary Pippin	HUDALJ No. 02-04-NA HUDALJ No. 02-03-NA	2001/12/28 2002/02/05	Claim abandoned. Claim 1 of discrimination occurred outside of time window. Claim 2 was in relation to a transaction which did not involve a act of credit under Section 741.
John Bergum	HUDALJ No. 02-11-NA	2002/07/15	Claimant failed to respond to a show cause order.
Sally A. Filipek	HUDALJ No.02-07-NA	2002/08/07	Claimant failed to allege facts constituting a prima facie claim.
David Mumby	HUDALJ No. 02-06-NA	2002/09/23	Claimant failed to respond to a Show Cause Order.
Marliese Oathout	HUDALJ No. 02-05-NA	2002/10/11	Claimant's allegation of sex discrimination without direct evidence to support the claim failed to sustain a prima facie causation.
Anthony A & Doris Catuto	HUDALJ No. 02-15-NA	2002/10/24	Claim was dismissed due to claim of protected status being "disability" which was not covered under Section 741.

Beatrice Randolph	HUDALJ No. 02-12-NA	2002/10/29	Claimant failed to establish that he was in a protected status and that there was a casual connection between protected status and the adverse actions taken.
Dale E. Combs	HUDALJ No. 00-02-NA	2002/11/5	Claimant failed make timely filing for certain claims and failed to claim a status which is eligible under Section 741.
Larry & Sandy Davis	HUDALJ No. 03-02-NA	2002/12/10	Claim dismissed due to non-response to OCR Position statement.
James Lamkin	HUDALJ No. 03-05-NA	2003/01/10	Claim was untimely filed.
Charles F. Hinton	HUDALJ No. 02-10-NA	2003/01/30	No documentation from Complainant which alleges basis for claim under Section 741 and no basis for discrimination.
Clyde T. Mayberry	HUDALJ No. 03-04-NA	2003/02/13	Claim did not allege a violation of the ECOA and was not an "eligible claim"
Frank Jones	HUDALJ No. 02-13-NA	2003/02/20	Complainant unable to establish prima facie evidence that he was qualified to receive FSA benefits and that he was treated differently (less favorably) than similarly situated persons in non-protected class.
Elmer Fink, Jr.	HUDALJ No. 03-07-NA	2003/02/27	Claimant alleged discrimination based on dyslexia (disability) which is not covered under Section 741. Claimant's additional grounds of age and national origin were filed untimely.
Dorothy Taylor	HUDALJ No. 02-16-NA	2003/03/04	Settlement Agreement
Deborah Richards	HUDALJ no. 03-08-NA	2003/03/20	Claimant abandoned her claim based upon failure to respond to a Motion to Dismiss.
Peter Stark	HUDALJ No. 00-24-NA	2003/03/21	A timely claim of discrimination of protective status of "religion" not shown by prohibitive evidence showing ill motive.
Patricia Layton	HUDALJ No. 03-06-NA	2003/03/24	Claimant alleged grounds for discrimination occurred in the Conservation Reserve Program (CRP) which is not a program covered by Section 741.
Dexter L. Davis	HUDALJ No 03-01-NA	2003/04/04	Settlement Agreement between OCR and Claimant covering calendar years between 1995-1998 with payments of \$114,000 and release of outstanding debt to USDA. Claimant failed to present prima facie evidence for claim of discrimination in years of 1988 thru 1995.
Helen M. Nagel	HUDALJ No. 03-16-NA	2003/04/07	Claimant alleged acts of employment discrimination related to hostile work environment which is not a protected status under Section 741. Other acts alleging discrimination were untimely filed.
Pearl B. Matthews	HUDALJ No. 03-15-NA	2003/04/18	Claimant's allegation of discrimination occurred before 1/1/81 and is therefore not covered by Section 741. Claimant's claim of discrimination based on grounds of fraud and conspiracy which is not covered by Section 741.
William E. Owens	HUDALJ No. 03-12-NA	2003/04/28	Claimant failed to file an timely complaint
Gale & Joan Greenstreet	HUDALJ No. 03-20-NA	2003/05/13	Claimants filed an untimely claim.

Rodman & Melinda Thompson	HUDALJ No. 03-14-NA	2003/05/23	Claimed was dismissed as it was untimely filed and was barred by res judicata.
Marc Williams	HUDALJ No. 03-13-NA	2003/06/04	Claimant's allegation of discrimination occurred six days after cut-off date in Section 741.
Robin & Russell Scrutchfield	HUDALJ No. 03-22-NA	2003/06/24	Claimants filed an untimely Complaint. (File after July 1, 1997)
Ruby J. Marens	HUDALJ No. 02-09-NA	2003/06/30	Claimant failed to make a prima facie case that any denial of benefits was based on discriminatory reasons.
Margaret Davis	HUDALJ No. 03-32-NA	2003/07/01	Joint Stipulation of dismissal based upon claims being heard in Federal district Court.
Linda M. Clements	HUDALJ No.03-19-NA	2003/07/01	Claimant alleged fraud and disability as grounds for discrimination which are not covered by Section 741.
Willie Crute	HUDALJ No. 03-34-NA	2003/07/03	Claimant voluntarily dismissed his claim.
David W. Landry	HUDALJ No. 03-21-NA	2003/07/10	Claimant's allegation of discrimination due to national origin in denial of credit was already decided adverse to Claimant in prior case and res judicata or collateral estoppel precludes as claim was already litigated.
Lavon Bretz	HUDALJ No. 03-25-NA	2003/08/20	Claimant filed a claim on 5/10/93 alleging discrimination but failed to allege the protected status required under Section 741. Claimant filed a second claim on 4/98 based which was untimely.
Warren A. Bain	HUDALJ No. 02-14-NA	2003/08/27	Serial revisions of the complaint failed to claim the activities protected by ECOA and did not allege casual connection between activities and adverse action.
Richard Hatch	HUDALJ 03-10-NA	2003/09/08	Claims of reprisal for making a FIOA request is not an "eligible complaint" under Section 741.
Robert Houriet	HUDALJ No. 03-27-NA	2003/09/16	Claimant's allegation of discrimination based on reprisal because he was a potential whistle blower did not allege a protected status required under Section 741.
Denise Holmes	HUDALJ No. 03-29-NA	2003/09/17	Claimant filed timely claim of discrimination based upon age and disability but failed to offer prima facie proof that younger individuals similarly situated were treated more fairly. Claimant filed and untimely claim of discrimination based upon race and sex.
Brenda Abercrombie	HUDALJ No. 03-33-NA	2003/10/01	Claimant failed to file an eligible complaint in that she failed to allege the basis of alleged discrimination, filed her claim in untimely manner, and failed to provide prima facie showing of evidence of other written claims.
Jane Vorwerk	HUDALJ No. 03-41-NA	2003/10/01	Claimant alleged discrimination based upon sex, but failed to file a response to Agency's position statement and is deemed to have abandoned her claim.
Hugh Hall	HUDALJ No. 03-44-NA	2003/10/01	Claimant filed an untimely allegation of discrimination and is time barred.
Leonard & Mary Dostal	HUDALJ No. 03-36-NA	2003/10/10	Claimant alleged discrimination based upon age. Claimant thereafter failed to show prima facie case of discrimination.

Daniel L. Curtis	HUDALJ 03-26-NA	2003/10/14	Claimant alleged discrimination based upon mental illness (disability) which is not a protected status under Section 741.
Woodrow Page	HUDALJ No. 03-28-NA	2003/10/15	Claimant failed to respond to OCR Position statement and was deemed to have abandoned his claim.
Henry Davidson	HUDALJ No. 03-23-NA	2003/10/27	Claimant filed an untimely claim (7/28/97) based upon race as a protected status. Claimant chose not to opt out of the <i>Pigford</i> class of claims.
Phillip Morawski	HUDALJ No. 03-37-NA	2003/10/29	Claimant alleged discrimination based on religion. Some of Claimant's 11 complaints were not timely. Others failed to allege Agency's actions were based upon impermissible criteria or failed to show prima facie evidence of discrimination.
Greg & Beverly Swecker	HUDALJ No. 03-35-NA	2003/11/03	Claimant alleged discrimination based upon familial status which is not covered by Section 741. Claimant thereafter filed an untimely claim based upon race and color.
Will Sylvester Warren	HUDALJ No. 00-19-NA	2003/11/03	Claimant's discrimination based upon protected status of race was sustained. Damages of \$6,612,723 awarded plus other relief.
Claude Lane	HUDALJ No. 03-42-NA	2003/11/07	Claimant alleged discrimination based upon race and fell within the <i>Pigford</i> classification Track "A" from which he received benefits. Claimant did not "opt out" of the <i>Pigford</i> class. He also orally alleged discrimination on different dates but no records exist of any other Complaints. were shown.
Rex Gardner	HUDALJ No. 03-11-NA	2003/11/17	Claimant failed to allege that he was a member of a protected class under Section 741 and provided no prima facie evidence that he satisfied the requirement to receive Advance Deficiency Payments (ADP) benefits.
Laurance Kriegel	HUDALJ No. 03-17-NA	2003/11/24	Claimant's Multiple allegations of discrimination did not specify the protected status under Section 741. Claimant's evidence failed to show that agency employees took adverse credit action for reasons other than legitimate non-discriminatory reasons.
Richard A. Finney	HUDALJ No. 03-39-NA	2003/12/03	Claimant alleged discrimination on grounds of handicap and retaliation neither of which are areas of protected status under Section 741. Claimant also alleged discrimination due to wife's status as female but failed to respond to Agency's motion to dismiss.
John & Ruth Reyenga	HUDALJ No. 03-31-NA	2003/12/12	Claimants filed a timely complaint based upon age and race but later disavowed that claim (and failed to offer any evidence) and then raised new basis of discrimination based upon political considerations and fraud by Agency which was not a eligible claim under Section 741.

Esterine Cosby	HUDALJ 03-38-NA	2003/12/19	Claimant alleged discrimination based on race in two complaints both of which were untimely. Claimant provided only an affidavit of an acquaintance to show that she filed a claim, but the affidavit on its face shows the alleged discriminatory acts occurred before 1/1/1981. The later claim was filed January 1999 which is untimely.
Joseph & Patricia Tuchrello	HUDALJ No. 03-30-NA	2003/12/31	Complaint of discrimination based upon national origin and receipt of public assistance & reprisal were untimely filed. Complaint filed based upon "unlawful discrimination" failed to allege the protected status under section 741.
Robert House	HUDALJ No. 03-43-NA	2004/ 01/14	Claimant failed to allege a basis of protected status under Section 741. Additionally, Claimant raised same issues in prior Federal District court cases and is precluded from re-litigating the issues.
Carlos Morales Tirado	HUDALJ No. 00-15-NA	2004/01/23	Case resolved by Agreement.
Estate of Richard Wagner	HUDALJ No. 03-24-NA	2004/01/24	Both parties moved to dismiss with prejudice.
Horst W. Josellis	HUDALJ No. 03-40-NA	2004/04/01	Claimant alleged discrimination based upon disability (German accent) and political beliefs which are not areas of protected status. Claimant failed to provide documents requested by the Agency per regulations and failed to show prima facie evidence of disparate treatment from persons in similar situation but not of the protected status.

Jacqueline Shiplet	HUDALJ No. 02-08-NA	2004/04/06	Complainant's explicit discriminatory statements related to age and "married woman" does not meet preponderance of evidence test and even if true the agency had legitimate non-discriminatory basis for denying credit.
Sean A. Faggs	HUDALJ No. 04-39-NA	2004/04/15	Claim was dismissed for failure to respond to a show cause order and was deemed to have abandoned his case.
Loretta Burnette	HUDALJ No. 04-02-NA	2004/05/27	Claimant alleged discrimination based on disability which is not a protected status under Section 741.
Bobby G. Jones	HUDALJ No. 04-101-NA	2004/06/24	Claimant alleged discrimination but failed to specify the protected status. Claimant has on 3 prior occasions litigated the same issues in Federal Courts and res judicata and claim preclusion is applicable.
Donita Starks	HUDALJ No. 03-45-NA	2004/06/30	Claimant alleged discrimination based upon sex and race. Claimant failed to offer prima facie evidence that she qualified for the Rural Housing Service (RHS) loan in the amount she requested or that RHS treated her less favorably than others similarly situated in a non-protected status.
Glovetta Richberg	HUDALJ No. 04-28-NA	2004/07/02	Claimant alleged discrimination due to age which is not a protected status under Section 741. Claimant failed to state an "eligible claim" and the Agency showed legitimate non-discriminatory basis for their actions.
Shari Reese	HUDALJ No. 04-75-NA	2004/07/09	Claimant alleged discrimination on marital status and disability but failed to show prima facie evidence of discrimination by the Agency. In foreclosing her property, the Agency had no duty to proceed first against the assets and income of her divorced husband. Further claimant failed to request a ALJ hearing within the required time and is deemed to have waived her right to a hearing.
David L. Howard	HUDALJ No. 04-076-NA	2004/08/25	Claimant alleged discrimination based upon race. Even though Claimant was successful in receiving FSA loan benefits for his revised loan application, he alleged discrimination on the initial loan application. Claimant failed to proffer evidence that he was qualified to receive loan benefits under the initial application.
Patricia Nichols	HUDALJ No. 04-29-NA	2004/08/26	Claimant alleged discrimination on basis of sex, marital, disability, familial status, intelligence. Claimant provided no direct evidence of discrimination and also failed to show prima facie evidence of discrimination on the grounds of sex and marital status. Claimant failed to show she was "qualified" to receive benefits due to Agency loan requirements. FSA provided legitimate non-discriminatory reasons why her loan was refused.

Jerome H. Brown	HUDALJ No. 04-95-NA	2005/03/14	Claimant alleged he made oral complaints in 1991, 1992, 1994 & 1995. Despite a lengthy and thorough search of all repositories by the Agency, no written complaint can be found and the substantive issues and not be further considered.
Rose Oxarango	HUDALJ No. 04-234-NA	2006/01/31	Claimant alleged discrimination based upon national origin and education. Claimant did not offer direct proof of discrimination nor did she offer prima facie evidence showing that the Agency used impermissible criteria of national origin in the administration of the loan.
Mildred Jackson	HUDALJ No. 04-69-NA	2006/03/14	Claimant alleged discrimination on the basis of marital status and sex. Claimant offered prima facie evidence of status and the court allowed further discovery. Court determined that Agency collection actions were included in "credit transactions" in that it was an "adverse action." Claimant showed that Agency was charged with knowing she was divorced, but claimant failed to show that Agency took adverse actions because of her divorce status. Agency showed that it had legitimate non-discriminatory reasons for its actions and did not treat claimant less favorably due to her divorce status than other persons similarly situated but not of the protected status.
Phillip & Dorothy Barker	HUDALJ No. 02-25-NA	2006/03/23	Both parties moved to dismiss with prejudice.
Clay & Karen Taylor	HUDALJ No. 06-006-NA	2006/04/26	Claimants failed to respond to a show cause order and were deemed to have abandoned their claim.
Ben E. Terry	HUDALJ No. 06-004-NA	2006/05/26	Claimant alleged discrimination based on race in the administration of a USDA Commodity program. Claimant's allegation does not relate to ECOA and in not an eligible claim under Section 741.
Joseph R. Pugh	HUDALJ No. 04-100-NA	2006/05/31	Claimant alleged discrimination based upon disability as well as Agency corruption, unethical conduct, and unfair actions. None of claimant's basis for discrimination are covered by Section 741.
Richard A. Banks	HUDALJ 05-0004-NA	2007/02/23	Claimant alleged discrimination based upon race, but failed to proffer evidence which even if true would be insufficient to establish that he was denied benefits or treated less favorably based upon his race. Although Agency officials used language which could have been understood as "code words" indicating animus in a meeting, he failed to show that he was qualified to receive USDA program benefits.